



Thursday, 6 March 2025

No. 3 - 2025

NATIONAL ASSEMBLY

QUESTIONS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

MINISTER:	QUESTION NO.
1. Prime Minister	
2. Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Work and Transport	63, 76, 86
3. Minister of Home Affairs, Immigration, Safety and Security	91, 103
4. Minister of Defence and Veterans Affairs	89
5. Minister of International Relations and Cooperation	
6. Minister of Finance and Public Enterprises	81, 98
7. Minister of Education, Arts and Culture	85, 101, 102
8. Minister of Gender Equality, Poverty Eradication and Social Welfare	97
9. Minister of Health and Social Services	87, 93, 96
10. Minister of Labour, Industrial Relations and Employment Creation	
11. Minister of Mines and Energy	71
12. Minister of Justice	88, 94
13. Minister of Urban and Rural Development	74
14. Minister of Environment, Forestry and Tourism	83
15. Minister of Industrialisation and Trade	

16.	Minister of Agriculture, Water and Land Reform	65, 82, 90, 92, 95, 99, 100
17.	Minister of Fisheries and Marine Resources	
18.	Minister in the Presidency	67, 75, 79, 84
19.	Minister of Sport, Youth and National Service	
20.	Minister of Information and Communication Technology	104
21.	Minister of Higher Education, Technology and Innovation	

Question 63 (2024-07-02)

Hon. Benz (PDM) asked the Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Works and Transport:

Government fleet must play an important role in service delivery for the greater good of the Namibian people. Many ministries, police stations and hospitals are unable to deliver important services to the people because they do not have the needed vehicles or the vehicles are not operational due to mechanical problems. This obstructs effective service delivery in Namibia.

I therefore wish to ask the Honourable Minister the following:

1. Share with us a comparative analysis of the total number of operational and non-operational government fleet and further inform us on the strategy in fixing the non-operational government fleet?
2. What are the yearly cost implications in maintaining government fleet and how can this costs be possibly reduced?
3. Many police stations and hospitals in Namibia do not have enough vehicles to deliver timely services to the people. Are there any plans to procure enough vehicles for these two important ministries or to at least repair the existing broken vehicles ?
4. How effective are government garages in fixing or repairing government fleet?
5. What best practices can be employed to maintain and sustain government fleet?

Question 65 (2024-07-02)

Hon. Tjiramba (LPM) asked the Minister of Agriculture, Water and Land Reform :

The Baynes Dam project has raised significant concerns among the OvaHimba communities and other socio-economic stakeholders. Issues of proper consultation, adherence to constitutional rights, and the inclusion of all affected parties in the decision-making process have been brought forward. Recent developments include a newly launched Bio-Cultural Protocol highlighting the spiritual and livelihood significance of the Kunene River for the OvaHimba people.

The experience of the Necktartal Dam and the exclusion of the traditional communities from proper resettlement farms as promised, has raised further suspicion among most of the OvaHimba regarding the actions of the State in construction of Dams in this country. I now therefore ask the following questions:

1. Given the existence of two factions in the community: those for and those against the project, it is crucial to ensure that both are adequately represented to reflect the diverse perspectives within the community. How are the interests of the faction led by Mutaambanda Kapika being addressed?
 2. A newly launched Bio-Cultural Protocol for the OvaHerero of Kaokoland in Namibia and Angola has come into existence. This protocol emphasizes the spiritual and livelihood connections to the Kunene River and its surrounding ecosystems. How does the project plan to respect and integrate these cultural and spiritual values into its development and operational plans?
 3. The Bio-Cultural Protocol mentions several sacred sites significant to the OvaHimba community. How does the current project plan address the protection and preservation of these sacred sites? Are there any specific measures in place to ensure that these cultural heritage sites are not disturbed or desecrated during the construction and operational phases of the dam?
 4. It has been brought to our attention that there are no adequate sand resources at the Baynes Dam construction site. How does the project plan to procure the necessary sand for construction?
 5. There are concerns regarding the potential use of the Omuhonga River for sand mining. Can you confirm whether this river is being considered as a source for sand? If so, has the project obtained consent from the local communities affected by this decision? What steps are being taken to ensure that the environmental and social impacts of sand mining are mitigated?
 6. Despite the establishment of the Baynes Stakeholder Steering Committee, there are allegations that the broader OvaHimba community has not been adequately informed or consulted about the construction of the dam. Additionally, a petition with 1,000 signatures from affected community members, including those from the faction led by Mutaambanda Kapika, the Orokaue and surrounding villages, Oviyeerere community, and Otjimborombonga community, indicates significant concern. How does the project plan to address these allegations and ensure that Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC) is genuinely obtained from all affected communities without any prejudices?
 7. Given the significant environmental and social implications of the Baynes Dam, what steps are being taken to ensure that all relevant environmental and social impact assessments (ESHIA's) are comprehensive and inclusive of community input? How will the findings from these assessments be transparently communicated to the affected communities, and how will their feedback be incorporated into the project planning and execution, should the project go ahead?
-

Question 67 (2024-09-03)

Hon. Mootu (LPM) asked the Minister in the Presidency:

The Vice President H.E Netumbo Nandi-Ndaitwah, has voiced serious concerns about the prolonged delay in the renovation of the stadium despite budget allocations made in the 2022/2023 budget. Further, her claims state that communications were made that by August, 28, 2024 indicating that a contractor had been secured and that progress was made. Yet, her office has notched recover any follow-up communication to arrange a site visit to date. It is with the above statement that I shall proceed to ask the following:

1. Why has there been no transparency or feedback to her office, and what steps are being taken to ensure that communication improves?
2. Given the severity of the situation and failure of the Ministry of Sport, Youth and National Service to act within the allocated time frame, will you be advising the President to take immediate action, including the possible dismissal of the Minister responsible? If not, what justifies retaining the Minister in her position?
3. With elections on the horizon, there is a growing perception that these actions are a political stunt aimed at swaying the youth vote. Can you assure the public that this is not merely a tactic to influence voters, and will decisive actions be taken before the election period beings?

Question 71 (2024-09-03)

Hon. Kodi (LPM) asked the Minister of Mines and Energy:

The recent developments regarding the proposed electricity tariff increments have sparked widespread discontent among our people. This seeks to address the issue of the increment of electricity tariffs, the government's intervention, and to propose tangible solutions for curbing expensive electricity tariffs in the future.

From the financial year 2016/2017, NamPower applied for an effective bulk tariff increase from N\$1.28 to N\$1.68 per kWh, and the end-user tariffs were approved at an average tariff of N\$2.00/kWh. In the 2022/2023 financial year, a tariff increase of 12.8% was requested, but the Electricity Control Board (ECB) approved a lower average bulk increase of 7.3%

The City of Windhoek had proposed a 10.3% increase in electricity tariffs, which was met with strong opposition from various stakeholders, including the Trade Union Congress of Namibia (TUCNA) and the general public. The Electricity Control Board (ECB) conditionally approved a reduced tariff hike of 7.9% . However, the government has since intervened by availing N\$365 million to subsidize electricity consumers for the 2024/ 025 financial year, effectively canceling the increment for 2024 and 2025.

While the government's intervention provides temporary relief, there are concerns about what will happen beyond 2025, especially after the elections. The ECB has projected further increases in electricity tariffs for the subsequent years, with significant hikes expected from 2025/2026 onwards. This raises the question of sustainability and the long-term affordability of electricity for Namibian households and businesses.

I therefore ask the following:

1. The constant increment of electricity tariffs in Namibia suggests that the government is prioritizing profit-making, with exorbitant profit margins at the expense of the public. Is the government trying to make a profit from electricity tariffs?
2. Despite Namibia's vast potential for solar energy, there seems to be a lack of urgency in harnessing this cheaper and more sustainable energy source. Instead, significant funds are exported to Zimbabwe, which further exacerbates the economic strain on local consumers. Why are we exporting billions to Zimbabwe when we could be investing in our own renewable energy infrastructure?
3. Should we not liberate the capacity of local authorities to implement the Power Purchase Agreement (PPA) system, allowing them to generate and manage their own energy?. This could reduce NAMPOWER's role to just supplying businesses, potentially lowering costs for consumers. Where does the revenue generated from these high tariffs go? How much does energy actually cost the government?
4. Is the ECB's current model viable for the livelihoods of ordinary Namibian people who already struggle with a repo rate of 7.75% and an inflation rate of about 4.90%? The ECB's model appears disconnected from the economic realities faced by Namibians. The combination of high electricity tariffs, a steep repo rate, and inflation is crippling for households and businesses alike.
5. Are there no other means of sourcing electricity besides relying on imports from South Africa? The government's approach seems more focused on generating revenue than providing affordable energy to its citizens. Why are we not exploring and investing in other local and renewable energy sources?
6. What is the status quo with NAMPOWER's BioMass project in the Oshikoto region? This project has the potential to provide sustainable energy solutions, yet there seems to be little progress or transparency about its development. Why is there a lack of progress on such promising initiatives?

Question 74 (2024-09-10)

Hon. Hamata (PDM) asked the Minister of Urban and Rural Development:

Honorable Minister, the recent ruling by the High Court of Namibia (in the matter of Namibia Economic Freedom Fighters (NEFF), Electoral Commission of Namibia (ECN) has raised serious concerns about the competence and preparedness of the Commission. The court found that the Commission acted unlawfully by deregistering a political party without affording it the right to be heard, a violation of Article 18 of the Namibian Constitution. This premature action by the Commission not only shows a failure to follow due process but also threatens the integrity of our democratic systems, particularly as we approach the upcoming elections. One wonders whether we can trust the Commission to conduct free, fair and transparent elections given this early constitutional breach.

I therefore, put to you, Hon. Minister, the following questions:

1. Hon. Minister, with the High Court finding the Commission to have acted unlawfully in the recent deregistration of a political party, can you confidently state whether the Commission is fully prepared to conduct this year's elections in a free and fair manner? What measures are in place to ensure the electoral body is ready and capable?
2. Considering the Commission's early violation of constitutional principles, do you believe the Namibian public can trust the Commission to carry out this year's elections impartially and transparently? What steps is your Ministry taking to restore public confidence in the electoral body?
3. In light of the court's ruling, will you, as the Minister responsible for Urban and Rural Development, be advocating for the resignation of the Commissioners involved? Do you believe there should be consequences for this breach of public trust, and what actions will be taken to hold the Commission accountable?
4. Given this serious misstep by the electoral body, what assurances can you provide that the upcoming elections will be fair and transparent? Can we expect tighter oversight or reforms to ensure that the Commission adheres strictly to legal and constitutional requirements during the election process?
5. Hon. Minister, what is your take on the Commission's handling of this matter and what guarantees can you give the Namibian people that the elections will not be compromised? What concrete measures will be implemented to ensure that the elections remain a true reflection of the people's will, free from any form of manipulation or misconduct?

Question 75 (2024-09-11)

Hon. McHenry Venaani (PDM) asked the Minister in the Presidency:

The Popular Democratic Movement (PDM) has consistently raised concerns since 2017 regarding the failure of August 26 Holdings (Pty) Ltd to deliver audited financial records to the National Assembly since its inception in 1998. On 24 April 2024, I had dispatched a written communication addressed to the Anti-Corruption Commission (ACC) Director-General, Mr. Paulus Noa. The subject matter of the letter was to procure insights into and demand an investigation concerning the unseen audited report of August 26's books, held confidential by the Auditor-General's Office. During a meeting in July of this year with President Nangolo Mbumba, he disclosed to myself and my delegation that although he has received the reports, his office had not yet had the opportunity to thoroughly review the financial documents assigned to the Auditor-General by the late President Geingob in 2021. It was further revealed that upon completion of the above-mentioned assignment, the Auditor-General's Office reportedly received no further directives regarding the report from the Presidency.

I thus ask the Minister:

1. What are the specific reasons for August 26 Holdings (Pty) Ltd's persistent failure to provide these audited financial reports, despite repeated requests and the involvement of the Ombudsman?
2. Why has the President delayed in completing this review, and what immediate actions are being taken to address this delay?

3. What immediate and concrete steps is the Presidency undertaking to ensure that the audited financial reports and ACC findings are released without further delay? What is the expected timeline for this release, and how will the Presidency ensure that such delays are prevented in the future?
 4. Can the Minister provide a detailed explanation as to why August 26 Holdings (Pty) Ltd has not complied with the legal requirement to submit audited financial records to the National Assembly, despite multiple requests?
 5. What specific measures has the Presidency taken to address this non-compliance and ensure that August 26 Holdings (Pty) Ltd meets its statutory obligations?
 6. What directives or actions have been taken by the Presidency following the receipt of the ACC findings, and why has there been a lack of follow-through on the part of the Presidency regarding these findings?
 7. How does the Presidency plan to address and rectify the consequences of the delayed publication of these financial reports and the subsequent lack of parliamentary oversight?
-

Question 76 (2024-09-11)

Hon. Diergaardt (PDM) asked the Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Works and Transport:

The Namibian Traffic Identification System (NATIS) testing centers and offices in various parts of Namibia continue to experience serious practices of widespread corruption where learners' and drivers' licences as well as roadworthy certificates are sold to the public. Natis testing office are accused of deliberately failing people during their driving license tests if no backdoor payment is made to them. This practice has been normalised at many Natis centers in Namibia. When incapable people buy drivers licenses, they pose major risk to pedestrians and other motorists.

I therefore wish to ask the Honourable Minister the following:

1. Is the Ministry aware of the corrupt and maladministration at Natis centers and offices in Namibia? If yes, what interventions have been made so far? And how effective are these interventions ?
 2. How many Natis officers in Namib have been implicated in corruption and maladministration and what were the implications?
 3. What corruption prevention strategies has your Ministry implemented so far?
 4. Is it not advisable to establish a functional task team to specifically investigate corruption and maladministration at all Natis centers country wide?
 5. There also seems to be a lack of service delivery at many Natis offices. How will your Ministry ensure that service delivery at Natis offices is improved?
-

Question 79 (2024-09-17)

Hon. Ekandjo (SWAPO) asked the Minister in Presidency:

Honorable Minister, Article 30 of the Namibian Constitution deals with the Oath or Affirmation the President takes before assuming office. Of critical importance for the purpose of my question, the oath in part read as follow:

“That I will strive to the best of my ability to uphold, protect and defend as the Supreme Law the constitution of the Republic of Namibia...”

Keeping the above in mind, in July 2023, a Private members’ bill that I moved in this August House was passed by more than two thirds majority, and I must add, without a single objection neither a single abstain.

Comrade Minister, our constitution under article 56(2) is the applicable in the case of the bill concerned. The article 56(2) read as follows:

Where a bill is passed by a majority of two-thirds of all members of the National Assembly and has been confirmed by the National Council, the President shall be obliged to give his or her assent thereto.

I therefore now ask:

1. Has the President complied with article 56(2) of our constitution?
 2. Has the President defied the oath of office as outlined in article 30 of the Namibian constitution in as so far as the bill is concerned?
 3. Why has the President not complied with article 56(2) of the Namibian constitution, which is an obligatory clause?
-

Question 81 (2024-09-17)

Hon. Tjiramba (LPM) asked the Minister of Finance and Public Enterprises:

On the issue of NAMRA (Namibia Revenue Agency) and some Provisional Tax payers with particular focus on farmers who claimed tax return on goods they bought for agricultural operations between the period of January 2020 and December 2021. NAMRA established that fraudulent tax return claims were made illegal. As a result NAMRA took drastic actions against those individuals without consultations to map out efficient and effective steps and methods of repayment. The harsh actions taken by NAMRA without assessing its own internal contributions to these malpractices have serious detrimental effects on the livelihood of affected individuals, Namibia’s economy at large and on its own integrity and reputation.

It is with greatest dismay that despite numerous efforts of these affected individuals to discuss with NAMRA management amicable solutions, it has been in vain.

I shall therefore ask the following:

1. How did the Provisional Tax Payers manage to defraud NAMRA?

2. What mechanism or risk mitigation systems were in place to prevent fraudulent claims?
3. What was NAMRA's stake and responsibilities in the so called "fraudulent refunds for Provisional Tax Payers"?
4. How do we ensure that the investigation conducted in connection with an alleged fraudulent scam was reliable, valid and credible in terms of impartiality and objectivity? Given the fact NAMRA was part and parcel of the scam?
5. In terms of other available and applicable statutes, such as The Labour Act 2007 (Act no. 11 of 2007) allowable deduction from a salary of an individual employee must not exceed 1/3 or 30% of the net earning or salary, however NAMRA took a unilateral decision and instructed employers of its target apparent defrauders to deduct more than 30% and in some cases even 100% resulting in 0 salary per month to recover its debt. Is such practice legal and does it promote well-being among our citizens? Really how do these fellow Namibians breathe and satisfy their own and families' physiological basic needs? Imagine what might be the consequences of such an act?
6. Having noticed that our Government through the Ministry of Finance, recently has waived tax revenue from some State Own Enterprises (SOEs) such as Unam, Trans-Namib, Air Namibia, NamPower, NamCor, just to mention a few. Why can such relief not be accorded to these struggling subsistent farmers faced with recurrent and persistent drought, poor access to their farming operation sites due to very bad roads conditions, poisonous plants (which can wipe out all livestock in a blink of an eye) above all difficult economic downturn.
7. Considering that Namibia is in a state of emergency declared by the Head of State of the Republic of Namibia, the application of ring-fencing during the tax return review has a gigantic negative impact on Agriculture sustainability, in turn on the GDP. NAMRA seems to use the Capitalist system of giving with the right hand and take it back with the left hand.

Therefore, the appeal to NAMRA is to withhold the application of ring-fencing until such time the country economic situation recovers, otherwise this country will continue manufacturing poverty of its own citizens.

Question 82 (2024-09-19)

Hon. Tjiramba (LPM) asked the Minister of Agriculture, Water and Land Reform:

My Questions are on the issue of severe bush encroachment in Namibia with particular focus on Communal land situated south of Redline.

Bush encroachment affects 45 million ha of Namibia with significant negative impact on rangeland productivity and biodiversity. Bush encroachment is the thickening of aggressive undesired woody species resulting in an imbalance of the grass versus bush ratio causing decrease in biodiversity as well as in carrying capacity. The beef industry hinges heavily on availability of sustainable rangeland for livestock fostering increased carrying capacity, fodder availability which is a positive mechanism to mitigate impact of recurrent drought challenging food security in our country.

In communal areas its vast farming land have a natural dense cover and have been like that for many decades. This thicket woody plants post negative implications on soil fertility resulting in total disappearance of grass for livestock. The ever shrinking farm land size for the majority Namibians in rural areas and their only means of production for survival have become a factory of poverty, hunger and mere food hand-out dependency syndrome affecting their dignity. Henceforth, bush thinning is the common method to counteract bush encroachment through biomass utilization and value chain addition, however clearing the bush from farm lands might exacerbate the problem.

Therefore I ask the Hon. Minister the following questions:

1. Is the Hon. Minister aware of this disaster and if yes what restoration plan will be put in place to address this life threatening predicament?
2. What restoration policies and regulations will be put in place to enhance effective and efficient implementation and management strategies?
3. How will the involvement of targeted population be done especially at grass root level?

Question 83 (2025-02-05)

Hon. Vries (PDM) asked the Minister of Environment, Forestry and Tourism:

The dangers of climate change include constantly rising temperatures, drought, changes in precipitation, increases in the intensity of some extreme weather events like thunderstorms and floods. Namibia has been experiencing drought almost every year, for the past few years and this has significantly affected our livelihoods and even our economy. It is therefore critical that climate change interventions and policies are crafted and implemented to curb the persistent droughts Namibia has been facing.

I therefore wish to ask the Honourable Minister the following:

1. What are the government's main policies to address climate change in Namibia and how effective are these policies in addressing climate change?
 2. What policies does the government have to address the increasing frequency of droughts due to climate change?
 3. What scientific data is the government using to track the effects of climate change in Namibia and what is the current situation regarding the effects of climate change in Namibia?
 4. What is being done in practicality to reduce Namibia's carbon footprint and greenhouse gas emissions?
 5. How is Namibia ensuring compliance with international climate agreements, such as the Paris Agreement and the Sustainable Development Goals (SDG's)?
-

Question 84 (2025-02-05)

Hon. Van den Heever (PDM) asked the Minister in the Presidency:

Honourable Speaker, in light of the recent publication by the Namibia Statistics Agency (NSA) of the country's unemployment statistics, which has raised significant concerns among economists, policy analysts, and the general public, I seek to draw attention to the fact that the NSA has announced that Namibia's overall unemployment rate stands at a staggering 37%, which increased from about 34%, while youth unemployment reached an alarming 45%. While this certainly paints a worrying picture of our labour market, the credibility of these statistics has been called into question.

Economists have also slammed the NSA for changing the definition of "unemployed," arguing that the exclusion of discouraged job seekers from the count, results in a misleading figure that downplays the true extent of unemployment in our country. Under the new definition, individuals who are engaged in unpaid work, such as subsistence farmers, fishermen, volunteers, and unpaid trainees, have also been excluded from the unemployment statistics. This revised methodology stands in stark contrast to the definition used in 2018, leading many to believe that the publicised figures fail to reflect the actual unemployment crisis facing Namibia today.

Adding to the concern is the fact that Namibia now has the highest unemployment rate in Southern Africa, surpassing countries like South Africa, Eswatini, Botswana, and Angola. While the NSA's report indicates a reduction in the workforce from 725,742 in 2018 to 546,805 in 2023, the real implications of this decline, especially in light of the 24,334 job losses due to retrenchments, must not be overlooked.

In this context, I hereby submit the following questions to the Minister for clarification on the NSA's methodology, the impact of these statistics and the steps that the government intends to take in addressing the growing unemployment crisis in Namibia.

I thus consider it prudent to ask the Minister the following:

1. Could you please explain why the NSA has chosen to exclude discouraged jobseekers, and what the Ministry's position is on the accuracy of these figures?
2. How does the Ministry view the exclusion of certain individuals, particularly given their significant contribution to the economy, even if they are not formally employed?
3. Does the Ministry believe this exclusion provides a true reflection of Namibia's labour market?
4. Considering that Namibia now boasts the highest unemployment rate in southern Africa, What measures is the Ministry taking to address the country's unemployment crisis, and how does the government plan to bring this figure down in the near future?
5. Has the Ministry conducted any impact assessments of existing job creation programmes, and if so, what do the findings reveal about their effectiveness in reducing unemployment?

6. In light of the significant delay in the publication of these statistics, can the Ministry address concerns that the delay was politically motivated to avoid the release of such damaging figures during an election year?
 7. How does the Ministry intend to involve the private sector, especially in sectors with potential for growth, to help absorb the large number of job seekers?
-

Question 85 (2025-02-05)

Hon. Van den Heever (PDM) asked the Minister of Education, Arts and Culture:

Heritage museums are important because they act as custodians of a community's cultural history while preserving artifacts and stories from the past. These museums also foster a sense of identity and educates visitors about history and further provides them with a space to connect with different traditions and experiences. Unfortunately, this is not the case with the Owela Exhibition and Educational Centre, which is located next to the National Theatre here in Windhoek. The centre has been shut down for the past four years now and the building remains in a deplorable and filthy condition.

I therefore wish to ask the Honourable Minister the following:

1. Please explain why the upkeep of this centre has not been a priority for the Ministry?
 2. Give us a breakdown of your Arts and Culture expenditure and progress made so far in maintaining and empowering National Heritage and Culture programmes?
 3. The Ministry seems to neglect Arts and Culture programmes and centers in Namibia. Why is this the case?
 4. When can we expect renovations to start at the Owela Exhibition and Educational Centre?
-

Question 86 (2025-02-05)

Hon. Benz (PDM) asked the Deputy Prime Minister and Minister of Work and Transport:

It is important for the Namibian government to build its own office spaces because it is cheaper, safe for the employees, and is it also important to maintain an overall good working environment. The Ministry of Works and Transport is responsible for buying office spaces and managing rent agreements for government ministries, in consultation with the respective user ministries. The government continues to spend millions of Namibian dollars on a yearly basis to rent office space from private companies and individuals.

I therefore wish to ask the Honourable Minister the following:

1. Which ministries, offices and government agencies are currently without office space and what are the reasons for this?

2. Share with us a list of all ministries, offices and agencies that currently rent office space from private companies and the monthly rental payments? Also share with us how much the government has spent in renting office space in the last financial year?
 3. Share with us an existing strategy to minimize the cost in rental fees and any other measure implemented by your Ministry to ensure that the government has its own office space?
-

Question 87 (2025-02-05)

Hon. Moongo (PDM) asked the Minister of Health and Social Services:

The Health Professions Council of Namibia (HPCNA) in October of 2024, issued a set of directives aimed at regulating the number of students admitted to nursing and midwifery programs across the country. These directives include limiting student intake to 50 students per campus per year, restricting all institutions to a single intake per year, suspending the opening of new campuses for nursing and midwifery programs, halting the approval of new undergraduate training programs, and requiring all graduates to pass a Nursing Council pre-registration evaluation before being eligible for registration to practice. Moreover, it was underscored that institutions that failed to comply with these directives risk having their approval withdrawn by the HPCNA.

In response to the directives, the Chairperson of the Association of Private Higher Institutions (APHEIN), addressed the regulatory directives on nursing and midwifery training, emphasising the need for a more thorough consultation process involving all stakeholders before the directives by the HPCNA are implemented. Among the key points highlighted in the letter, it concludes with requests for an urgent meeting to discuss the association's feedback, deferral of the directives' implementation pending further consultations, and a commission for a study to evaluate other strategic options. In response thereto, the Registrar of the HPCNA, has seemingly rescinded the directives, explaining this in a letter written to private tertiary institutions, such as Welwitchia University, IUM and others.

I thus consider it prudent to ask the Minister the following:

1. Is the Minister aware of this communication between the Health Professions Council of Namibia and the Association of Private Higher Institutions?
2. Can the Minister clarify the current status of these directives and explain the rationale behind this apparent change in position by the HPCNA?
3. How will the HPCNA ensure that it remains responsive to the changing needs of the healthcare system, while also maintaining high standards of training and protecting the interests of prospective nursing students?
4. Why has the Health Professions Council of Namibia agreed to revoke the directives as it has previously set out?
5. Is it fair to assume that the HPCNA is being threatened by certain private institutions to cancel the implementation of certain directives? In a similar vein, a reputable private university recently sent an urgent letter requesting

that HPCNA raise its new student intake to 200 from 50, as required by the authorized curriculum. It appears that this is an act of manipulation.

6. What steps will the HPCNA take to ensure that any decisions made moving forward will adequately balance the concerns of training institutions with the need to maintain quality standards in nursing and midwifery education?
 7. Can the Minister commit to the fact that the HPCNA's directives - which have now been revoked - stemmed from comprehensive consultation, that reflect current educational needs, workforce demands, and healthcare outcomes, and were in fact evidence based policies that derived from empirical research?
 8. There are institutions which have admitted more than the 50 students per intake, and have also employed dual intakes, coming into direct conflict with the directives set by the HPCNA. Have these institutions faced any sort of repercussions, and if so, what have been some of these repercussions?
 9. Have any institutions been withdrawn, following the failure to adhere to the HPCNA directives?
 10. Following the revocation of the directives, will the institutions which have been withdrawn - if there are any - be reinstated?
-

Question 88 (2025-02-05)

Hon. Dienda (PDM) asked the Minister of Justice:

There have been observed instances in Namibia where courts order the plaintiff to pay security deposits. Rule 59 of the High Court and Rule 62 of the Magistrates Court, pertains to the provision of security for costs. These rules allows a party in a legal proceeding to request that the opposing party provide security to cover potential legal costs. However, this justification can sometimes be used unfairly against financially weaker parties or individuals. Security deposits can prevent people from pursuing or defending a case and ultimately prevents them from seeking justice.

I therefore wish to ask the Honourable Minister the following:

1. If a plaintiff, especially an individual or small business is ordered to pay security for costs and cannot afford it, they may be forced to abandon their case, even if they have a strong legal claim. Does requiring security for costs create an unfair financial obstacle that prevents individuals or small businesses from seeking justice?
2. How do the courts in Namibia ensure that security for costs is not being used as a tactic to silence strong legal claims?
3. In what circumstances can security for costs unjustly deny a litigant their constitutional right to a fair trial?
4. Why can't the courts first consider the strength of the plaintiffs case before granting security for costs?

5. Does security for costs disproportionately affect poorer litigants compared to wealthier ones and what impact does this have on the overall justice system?
 6. Why can't court cases with public interests like human rights, or election lawsuits be exempted from security for costs?
 7. Is it possible for courts to introduce more flexible rules for NGOs, political parties and individuals bringing cases that have strong public interest?
-

Question 89 (2025-02-05)

Hon. Dienda (PDM) asked the Minister of Defence and Veterans Affairs:

In recent developments, the M23 rebel group, reportedly supported by Rwanda, has declared a one-sided ceasefire in the eastern city of Goma for humanitarian reasons. This decision follows intense clashes with the DRC army, which resulted in significant casualties and displacements. The conflict has led to widespread human rights violations, including reports of executions and sexual violence. South Africa, Malawi and Tanzania have deployed soldiers to the DRC to assist the Congolese army in combating the Rwanda-backed M23 rebel group and to protect civilians from violence and human rights abuses.

Namibia and Zimbabwe last deployed troops to the DRC in 1998. Namibia being a member state of SADC, automatically makes Namibia a part of the SADC Organ on Politics, Defence, and Security Cooperation and Namibia is also a member of the African Union (AU) Peace and Security Council (PSC). This therefore means that Namibia has a role to play in the deployment of troops to the DRC.

However, according to a local daily newspaper, the Namibian Government decided not to send troops to the Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC). Furthermore, President Nangolo Mbumba outlined that Namibia is committed to the peaceful resolution of the conflict, and reiterated that position on Friday, 31 January 2025, in his message to the diplomatic community.

I therefore wish to ask the Honourable Minister the following:

1. What are the main reasons behind Namibia's decision not to send troops to the DRC, especially given the escalating conflict and regional instability?
2. Did Namibia receive any formal request from the DRC government or regional bodies such as SADC for Namibia to contribute troops? If so, what led to the decision to decline?
3. Could Namibia's reluctance to send troops impact its standing within SADC, given that some member states, such as South Africa, have deployed forces?
4. Does Namibia believe that a military intervention is not the best approach to the DRC conflict? If so, what alternative solutions does the Namibian government propose?
5. How does this decision align with Namibia's commitments within the Southern African Development Community (SADC) and the African Union (AU) regarding regional security?

6. Do you think the decision not to send troops to the DRC will affect Namibia's diplomatic relationship with the DRC? Have there been any discussions with the Congolese leaders regarding this?
 7. How does Namibia view the role of external actors, such as the United Nations or neighboring countries, in resolving the crisis? Should the region be relying more on diplomatic efforts rather than military intervention?
-

Question 90 (2025-02-05)

Hon. Hamata (PDM) asked the Minister of Agriculture, Water and Land Reform:

I rise today to seek clarity from the Minister of Agriculture, Water, and Land Reform regarding the granting of land tax exemptions, particularly in relation to individuals who do not appear to meet the qualifying criteria as outlined in our laws. The Agricultural (Commercial) Land Reform Act, 1995 (Act No. 6 of 1995) provides the framework for the collection of land tax and the exemption process. This Act specifies that exemptions should primarily benefit previously disadvantaged individuals or those involved in implementing redress policies aimed at correcting historical inequalities.

Furthermore the Minister is entrusted with the power to grant exemptions, but such powers must be exercised within constitutional and legal parameters to ensure fairness, transparency, and the integrity of our institutions. However, recent revelations indicate that a serving judge, Justice Shafimana Ueitele, was granted a land tax exemption by the Ministry while presiding over a case in which the First Defendant was Minister Calle Schlettwein himself. This raises serious concerns about whether the exemption process is being fairly and lawfully administered or if it is being used to unduly benefit individuals in positions of influence.

Honourable Minister, I therefore ask the following questions:

1. Under what legal provisions or constitutional powers does your Ministry grant land tax exemptions, and what criteria must an applicant meet to qualify?
2. On what basis was Judge Shafimana Ueitele granted an exemption from paying land tax, and does he fall under any of the legal categories outlined in the Act?
3. Did Judge Ueitele apply for the exemption, or was it granted at the Minister's discretion? If so, what justification was provided in his application?
4. How many individuals have been granted land tax exemptions in the last five years, and what percentage of them were formerly disadvantaged persons as defined by law?
5. Does the Ministry have a transparent process in place for granting exemptions, and is there an independent review mechanism to prevent potential abuse of this discretion?
6. What measures will the Ministry put in place to ensure that exemptions are granted fairly and do not raise concerns of undue influence or preferential treatment?

7. Does the Minister acknowledge that granting a tax exemption to a judge presiding over a case involving the government creates a serious perception of bias and undermines public trust in the fairness of judicial and ministerial decisions’?

Honourable Speaker, the integrity of our governance and legal systems depends on the fair and lawful administration of exemptions. If land tax exemptions are being used selectively to benefit those in power, rather than fulfilling their intended purpose of redressing past injustices, then the entire exemption system risks becoming a tool for favoritism rather than fairness. I urge the Minister to provide clear, transparent, and satisfactory answers to these questions.

Question 91 (2025-02-05)

Hon. Kamati (PDM) asked the Minister of Home Affairs, Immigration, Safety and Security:

A study conducted by Namibia University of Science and Technology (NUST) researchers raised concerns about unwarranted and unlawful pretrial detentions by the Namibian Police. The report, released on 16 January 2025, mentioned the Wanaheda, Katutura, and Otjomuise police stations for detaining citizens unlawfully. The study indicated a shocking 185 out of every 100 000 members of the general population are in pre-trial detention in Namibia. Exceeding the African average of 33.7 per 100 000 citizens.

I therefore wish to ask the Honourable Minister the following:

1. The Namibian Police are mandated to uphold the rule of law. Please explain to this August House how unlawful detentions are so common at Namibian police stations?
 2. The report finds that a total of 199 police dockets were sent back by the local prosecutor’s office with instructions for the immediate release of the detained individuals here in Windhoek. Have the detainees been released? If not, share with us the reasons why they are still detained?
 3. Unlawful detention is a gross human rights violation and could give rise to civil liability of the state, with high financial costs bleeding government coffers. Share with us how many civil liability cases against the Namibian police are currently in courts?
 4. Also share with us how many civil liability cases against the Namibian Police have been finalised and what were the cost implications for the Namibian Police?
 5. Would you agree with me that the Namibian Police lack serious investigation skills? If yes, what immediate remedies will your office implement?
-

Question 92 (2025-02-06)

Hon. Mike R. Venaani (PDM) asked the Minister of Agriculture, Water and Land Reform:

It has been reported that there is an increase of lumpy skin disease cases among cattle in Otjinene, Epukiro, Otjombinde, Otjituuu and Okakarara. Cattle movements from the affected areas are allowed on the condition that they are not showing signs of the disease. We are informed that the vaccination process has not yet started as the State Veterinary Services are still waiting for lumpy skin doses from the line Ministry.

I therefore wish to ask the Honourable Minister the following:

1. Why is there a delay in providing vaccines to the veterinary offices?
 2. Will the government provide free or subsidized vaccines to all the affected farmers?
 3. All auctions in the areas have been cancelled as a result of this outbreak. Will the government introduce any emergency relief programs for all affected livestock farmers in the area?
 4. What long-term strategies are being developed to prevent future outbreaks of Lumpy Skin Disease?
-

Question 93 (2025-02-12)

Hon. Dienda (PDM) asked the Minister of Health and Social Services:

On 6 February 2025, the medical superintendent at the Oshakati Intermediate Hospital issued a memorandum to all staff members, restricting the use of mobile phones during working hours. While this is a commendable restriction, other healthcare facilities in Namibia must also implement the same restriction.

I therefore wish to ask the Honourable Minister the following:

1. What is the official policy on mobile phone use for healthcare workers in all hospitals, clinics and healthcare centers in Namibia?
 2. Why does this restriction only apply to the Oshakati Intermediate Hospital and not uniformly across all hospitals, clinics and healthcare centers in Namibia?
 3. What specific concerns or incidents led to this rule being enforced at the Oshakati Intermediate Hospital only?
 4. How does the Ministry ensure fairness in implementing rules across all healthcare facilities?
-

Question 94 (2025-02-12)

Hon. Tjirare (PDM) asked the Minister of Justice:

We have come to the realization that the National Assembly has developed a tendency of disregarding laws and judgment. With the repeated intervention of the judiciary to rectify decisions made by the National Assembly. With evidence (Court rulings and media reports) of the Office of the Speaker acting on directives from individuals and not perform due diligence to ensure the equity, constitutionality and fairness of an action, without consideration of the consequences those directives have on the individuals affected.

This reminds me of a phrase from the book I read in high school, Animal Farm by George Orwell which criticises the hypocrisy and corruption of pigs who take over the farm from their human Master, that reads:

The pigs take control of the farm after the overthrow of the farm owner, Mr Jones. (independence from apartheid) The pigs initially espouse the principles of animalism (democracy, fairness and equality) which was based on equality and that all animals should share in the benefits of their labour and their right to be citizens of the rich and resourceful farm (Namibia). However, the pigs consolidate their power and they begin to use their intelligence and leadership positions to justify their own privilege and to oppress their animals. (Politicians and the citizens who vote them into power). In this instance, desired politician and the other.

After numerous failed attempt for a meeting with the Office of the Speaker, we are left with no choice but to seek clarity from the Minister of Justice on the position of the government on the issue. With full knowledge and cognisance of what transpired between March 2020 and June 2022, we are at a standstill as to what is to happen with the legitimate, constitutionally elected Members of Parliament.

A Supreme Court judgement ensured that we were sworn into Parliament to serve our full term after the nullifying and setting aside of the previously sworn in Members of the PDM. (Perhaps the learned colleagues can assist in the explanation of what that means exactly). Ironically, my page on the National Assembly indicates that my term started in 2020 and will end in 2025.

We sought an audience with the Office of the Speaker on the stance of our finances, to which the National Assembly stated that they were under no legal obligation to compensate us for our losses. (Ironical how the National Assembly is knowledgeable of the law and are eager to obey it, yet most if not all their decisions and actions against Members of Parliament have been reversed by the Judiciary).

I won my Court case, Her Right Honourable Minister, but came out the biggest loser, all because some animals truly are more equal than others. (Side note) "After our swearing in ceremony, the 6 that vacated our seats were put on payroll by the ever democratic, fair Popular Democratic Movement, at a salary equivalent to that of a Member of Parliament, from June 2022 and will stop March 2025.

The National Assembly was fully aware from the onset that the swearing in of the PDM 6 was illegal. We specifically alerted them of our intend to challenge the action and that it would have financial repercussions. The decision to aid and abet the swearing in of the PDM 6 not only touched the constitutionality of the matter, but the constitutional right of the electorate, the legal principles and the judicial precedents thereof.

When the Supreme Court Judgement came forth, it had clear directives, of which the National Assembly opted to be selective as to which parts to implement and which parts to evade.

Paragraph 41 and 42 states that the Supreme Court respects the separation of powers and will not impose on them as to how to remedy the situation. But it clearly indicates that remedies must be implemented to which the Supreme Court orders our swearing in ceremony to be conducted immediately.

That in no way mean that the National Assembly was to ignore the other directives.

Honourable Speaker, Honourable Members, I did not spend 2 and a half years in Court to get whatever was left over from what was rightfully and legally mine.

This injustice is unfathomable and mind boggling, especially seeing it is being committed by lawmakers and their administrators to fellow lawmakers.

1. Why were the accomplices who were in clear violation of the law never held accountable? (ECN, PDM and the National Assembly). All bodies which are accountable to the government of the Republic of Namibia.
2. At what point did the Government of Namibia not see it fit to follow up and ensure that the Court Judgement was executed to the end. We are documented that we started our term on 20 March 2020 and will vacate on 20 March 2025.
3. Honourable Minister, the National Assembly has a track record of having all decisions imposed on Members of Parliament reversed by the Judiciary. The National Assembly has reversed decisions as directed before (NEFF case against the ECN) and the respective Members of Parliament were compensated for their losses. We have two and a half years of remuneration losses, as well as the regard for our full term because our pension payout was given to the illegitimate 6 as a golden handshake for their illegal stay in Parliament. When do the legitimate 6 get what is rightfully theirs and what have you done in your capacity as Government (Line Ministry) to ensure that equality, fairness and law is imposed and benefited by all parties involved?
4. I could litigate and once again have the judiciary guide you on the lawfulness of the Constitution and a Court Judgement, and its execution thereof. Or the Honourable Minister can ensure that the "honourable" actions are taken by the Honourable members of the National Assembly, to ensure that the Honourable Members who are owed, are compensated for what is legally, constitutionally and rightfully theirs. Does her Right Honourable Prime Minister have mandate to ensure that the Court Order is executed to the letter, if not, who does?

Question 95 (2025-02-13)

Hon. Muchila (APP) asked the Minister of Agriculture, Water and Land Reform:

Namibia is a country endowed with vast arable land and abundant water resources, yet we continue to import a significant portion of our food. The Zambezi Region, in particular, has some of the most fertile land in the country, coupled with reliable water sources such as the Zambezi, Kwando, Linyanti, and Chobe Rivers. This region holds

immense potential for large-scale agricultural development, yet it remains largely underutilized in that there is no single green scheme project in the region.

Traditional Authorities in the region have already availed vast tracts of land for agricultural investment, demonstrating their commitment to national food security and economic development. The following parcels of land have been identified and availed for immediate agricultural use:

1. Sachona Area - 5,000 hectares, 110 km southwest of Katima Mulilo, situated 8 km from the Kwando River.
2. Sikubi Area - 1,700 hectares, 50 km west of Katima Mulilo, identified for green scheme farming and solar power development.
3. Kongola Area - 1,000 hectares near Kongola Checkpoint, just 500 meters from the Kwando River.
4. Singalamwe Area - 5,000 hectares, 120 km west of Katima Mulilo, situated 7 km from the Kwando River.
5. Muyako Area - 1,000 hectares, located 4 km from the Chobe River, suitable for diverse agricultural activities.
6. Lusesse Area - 10,000 hectares in Kabbe South Constituency, ideal for rice and sugar plantations due to its flood-prone nature and rich soil composition.
7. Lyanshulu Area - 2,000 hectares, 140 km southwest of Katima Mulilo, with clay and sandy soil, 3 km from the Kwando River.
8. Liselo Area - 10,000 hectares, 10 km west of Katima Mulilo, with loam and sandy soil, situated 10 km from the Zambezi River.
9. Katima-Liselo - 2,000 hectares near the Katima Mulilo Border Post, featuring fertile land just 700 meters from the Zambezi River.

Given this availability of land and water, it is a serious matter of concern that no major agricultural schemes have been implemented in the Zambezi Region. I therefore, ask the following:

1. Why has the Ministry not taken decisive action to establish large-scale agricultural schemes in these areas, despite the availability of fertile land and water?
2. Namibia continues to rely heavily on food imports, which places the country in a vulnerable position regarding food security. With the Zambezi Region's capacity to contribute significantly to national food production, why has the Ministry not prioritized agricultural development in the region? How does the Ministry justify Namibia's continued reliance on food imports when we have the resources to produce our own food particularly in the Zambezi Region?
3. Traditional Authorities in the Zambezi Region have made land available for agricultural schemes (as the statistics show above), demonstrating their commitment to national food security. However, there has been no visible action

from the Ministry to utilize this land for large-scale farming. What specific obstacles are preventing the Ministry from acting towards food production and economic growth?

4. The underutilization of agricultural potential in the Zambezi Region is a direct contradiction to Namibia's goal of achieving food self-sufficiency. What immediate steps is the Ministry taking to unlock this potential and reduce dependency on food imports?
5. Despite the presence of major rivers such as the Zambezi, Kwando, Linyanti, and Chobe, there has been little to no investment in irrigation infrastructure to support agricultural expansion. Why has the Ministry failed to establish irrigation projects in a region with such an abundance of water?
6. Namibia's National Development Plan 5 (NDP5) and Vision 2030 both emphasize the importance of agricultural growth, food security, and economic diversification. How does the Ministry justify the continued neglect of the Zambezi Region when these national policies prioritize agricultural expansion?
7. Public-Private Partnerships (PPPs) and foreign investment have proven to be effective in boosting agricultural productivity in other parts of the world. Has the Ministry explored the possibility of public-private partnerships or foreign investment to develop these lands, and if not, why?
8. Many farmers in the Zambezi Region lack access to training, financial support, and markets, preventing them from transitioning from subsistence to commercial farming. What measures are being put in place to support local farmers in transitioning from subsistence farming to commercial agriculture in order to maximize agricultural productivity?
9. With climate change threatening Namibia's agricultural output, the government should be prioritizing resilient and sustainable farming methods. Why has the Ministry not taken decisive action to implement climate-smart agriculture in the Zambezi Region, where conditions are favorable for such initiatives?
10. How does the government plan to prevent the continued underutilization of these lands and ensure that they are used for productive agricultural activities that contribute to national food security?
11. Without a clear timeline and measurable targets, the potential of the Zambezi Region will continue to be wasted. What is the Ministry's specific timeline for establishing agricultural schemes in the region, and how will it ensure accountability in achieving these goals?

The potential for agricultural transformation in the Zambezi Region is undeniable. If these lands are properly utilized, we can significantly reduce food imports, create employment, and strengthen Namibia's food security. The time for action is now.

Question 96 (2025-02-13)

Hon. Muchila (APP) asked the Minister of Health and Social Services:

The people of Zambezi are facing critical challenges due to the lack of an intermediate hospital, inadequate medical facilities, and a shortage of trained specialists.

I, therefore, put forth the following pressing questions:

1. Why has the government not prioritized the establishment of an intermediate hospital in Zambezi despite its geographical isolation and increasing population?
2. What immediate steps is the Ministry taking to address the shortage of qualified medical specialists in the Zambezi Region?
3. Given that the Katima Mulilo State Hospital lacks critical care infrastructure, what plans are in place to equip it with modern medical equipment and intensive care facilities?
4. How does the Ministry justify subjecting critically ill patients to travel over 1,200 km to Windhoek or 512 km to Rundu for specialized care, often resulting in avoidable loss of lives?
5. Can the Ministry provide a detailed timeline for the development and construction of an intermediate hospital in Zambezi Region?
6. What measures are being taken to ensure that emergency medical services and ambulance support are adequate and efficient for urgent cases in the Zambezi Region?
7. What budgetary allocations have been made towards improving healthcare in Zambezi Region, and why has there been no tangible progress despite previous commitments?
8. How does the government plan to address the financial burden on families forced to travel long distances to seek healthcare, often at great personal cost?
9. Is the Ministry considering partnerships with private healthcare providers or international donors to expedite healthcare improvements in the region?
10. What assurances can the Minister give to the people of Zambezi Region that their right to healthcare, as enshrined in the Namibian Constitution and the Public and Environmental Health Act No. 1 of 2015, will be upheld without further delays?

Question 97 (2025-02-13)

Hon. Iipinge (PDM) asked the Minister of Gender Equality, Poverty Eradication and Social Welfare:

The Ministry is currently busy rolling out the Conditional Basic Income Grant in different towns, with a monthly grant of N\$600-00. The Conditional Basic Income

Grant is an extension of the former food bank programme designed for vulnerable and destitute households in urban and semi-urban areas. This grant is helpful to so many people in our country but there are some serious concerns.

I therefore wish to ask the Honourable Minister the following:

1. There is a confusion about the registration for this grant. Was it a once-off registration that took place last year or is the registration still ongoing?
2. Documentation such as ID's, birth certificates and municipal bills required for registration exclude desperate households. Is it possible to amend the required documents for the registration of this grant?
3. How many beneficiaries were registered so far and how many beneficiaries received the payout already?
4. Is there a specific payout date every month or will the payouts happen on random dates?
5. For how long will the Conditional Basic Income Grant be operational?
6. There are serious allegations that employed people are also receiving the grant. What transparent measures are in place to ensure that the grant benefits the intended people?

Question 98 (2025-02-13)

Hon. Hamata (PDM) asked the Minister of Finance and Public Enterprises:

The Namibian Constitution is founded on the principles of justice, equality, and fairness, as outlined in Article 10 which guarantees that “all persons shall be equal before the law” and prohibits any form of discrimination. However, the current pension system for Members of Parliament appears to contradict these constitutional guarantees by discriminating against younger MPs based solely on their age.

Honourable Minister, I would like to ask the following questions:

1. Do you acknowledge the inequality in the pension benefits system for younger Members of Parliament, particularly those under the age of 55, and what steps are you prepared to take to address this constitutional imbalance?
2. How do you justify a system where two Members of Parliament, elected at the same time but of different ages, receive unequal benefits despite serving the same Parliament?
3. When do you intend to bring changes to the pension fund in Parliament to ensure that the current pension system reflects justice, equality and fairness for all Members of Parliament, regardless of age?
4. What measures do you propose to ensure financial sustainability while providing fair and equitable pension benefits for Members of Parliament of all ages and service durations?

5. When will you address the concerns caused by the current pension structure, and ensure that younger Members of Parliament who have served equal time receive equal pension entitlements consistent with the constitutional principles of fairness and justice?
-

Question 99 (2025-02-18)

Hon. Kavandenge (NUDO) asked the Minister of Agriculture, Water and Land Reform:

Hon. Minister I have in my position an allotment letter of a farming unit Renette No. 232 Unit A, Khomas Region, so granted on 28/07/2016 to late Prof Mburumba Kerina.

This letter then leave no ambiguity as to the allocation of this farming unit to Prof Kerina, what is disturbing however is the fact that Prof Kerina kids were told to vacate the farm by officials from your Ministry recently.

Quite interestingly the allotment letter of 28/07/2016 signed by then Minister Hon Utoni Nuyoma and the Land Reform Advisory Commission Chairperson, the following paragraph was highlighted in that letter.

“You are required in terms of the provisions of the Agriculture Commercial Land Reform Act, 1995 (Act No. 6 of 1995) to take up effective occupation of the said farming unit, either personally or through a manager in full time employment of yourself, within 30 days of receipt of this letter failure to take up occupation within 30 days or such period as the Minister may grant, will result in the cancellation of the allotment and subsequent allocation to another beneficiary.

This to me clearly confirm that this farming unit was allocated to Prof Kerina and because he took position of the farm within the stipulated 30 days period, he indeed have complied with the provisions of the act.

Hon. Minister however surprisingly officials from your Ministry in their written communication to the kids stated that because Prof Kerina did not sign a title deed before his death, they must vacate this farm.

Hon. Minister is this not contradictory with the said Act? The Act as highlighted in the allotment letter only speak to take position of the farming unit within 30 days after a location “ there is nothing in that letter that speaks to come and sign a title deed within 30 days of allocation?

Why would a person sign a Title deed on a land that is leased to the person for a period of 99 years old which remains state property? And why taking so long for the lease agreement 2016-2021 if indeed it must be signed, to be signed while Prof Kerina was alive?

Surely there is something fishy and clandestine going on where some people as we are informed are Hon members of the house, coniving with some officials within the Ministry and at the Khomas Regional Council, to rob this kids of their rightful benefits of the said portion of the farm, and this needs your urgent intervention to stop it forthwith.

Equally in the said allotment letter it clearly stated that in point 7 that “in the event of your insolvency, liquidation, death or mental incapacity the land allocated reverts to the state automatically, whilst awaiting the appointment of a heir, trustee or tutors as the case may be”

Hon. Minister quoting the above highlighted paragraph in that letter, is it not common cause that after the death of Prof Kerina, the natural courses of events should have been the process of appointing of an heir of the said portion of the land, who must be the kids or wife of the deceased?

It is not the current scenario that we have so many farms that have been allocated to people who have since passed away, but upon their deaths, their spouse, kids and family took position of the said portions and are living there until now?

So what is the differences with Prof Kerina and why should his kids and family be told to vacate this portion of the farm?

Hon. Minister considering the role the late Prof Kerina played in the Liberation struggle of Namibia, is this the way the Namibian government should treat his surviving kids and family?

Hon Minister this is an issue that really needs your urgent interventions before you vacate your seat. As a country we owe that much to Prof Kerina.

Hon Speaker history record that should this stand and this farm is taken away from his children. Prof Kerina will go down in history as a man who was never accorded his rightful recognition in his own country while alive, and who was robbed of his inheritance in death as well. He was even denied his rightful burial place which was in Okahandja next to his mentor Chief Hosea Kutako.

It seem Chief Kutako prayer will forever describe Prof Kerina struggles as he left but the birds of the earth have a resting place, regrettably his children will still not have a dwelling place they will call their own even after his death, after he fought gallantly for mother Namibia freedom.

Question 100 (2025-02-18)

Hon. Kavandenge (NUDO) asked the Minister of Agriculture, Water and Land Reform:

Hon. Minister there is a long standing issue of generational farmworkers especially in the Khomas Region that seems to be unresolved 35 years after independence.

It is not a secret that the Khomani people still struggle until today to have a decent gracing place and a portion of land that they can call their own.

However the issue of generational farmers remain a serious problem especially in the Khomas Region. Minister are you aware that many farmers continue to treat their workers badly. Despite the cabinet directive to take cognizance of the resolutions of the 2nd Land Conference pertaining to generational farmworkers, the farm owners continue to treat their employees badly.

Hon. Minister are you aware that last week a generational farmer Mr. Gerson Sani Danab was fired by Mr. Dani Botha of farm 352 Doornkloof when he turned 60 years old. With a so called pension payout of N\$ 10 000 after working for him for 41 years.

However Mr. Gerson did not get the whole N\$ 10 000 so called pension, as from the receipt obtain the owner Mr. Botha had the audacity to deduct from this amount as follows:

Gracing 40 goats, 2 donkeys, 1 horse x1 month	= N\$ 23 000
Food Supplied to his wife in his absence	= N\$ 1 000
Transportation (Esterhuizen Transport)	= N\$ 4 200
Deduction	= <u>N\$ 7 500</u>
Cash Received	= <u>N\$ 2 500</u>

Hon. Minister Mr. Gerson Sani Danab a pensioner who have raised both Mr. Botha and Mr. Esterhuizen as kids, who worked 23 years for the late Mr. Funny Botha (the father to Danny and who worked for 23 years for Mr. Danny Botha left the farm in which his fat her is buried, with only N\$ 2 500 after 41 years.

He was thrown in the corridor with the few goats he have with his kids and wife.

My questions therefor are:

1. Is there anything that the Minister can do to assist Mr. Gerson Danab to be resettledsomewhere?
 2. When will the government put policies and procedures in place how employers must to treat generational workers at the farms around the country?
 3. When will the government step in and direct farm owners to give a piece of land to their generational farmers to settle in?
 4. How long will the government turn a blind eye to the suffering of this generational farmers?
 5. What happened to the Petition the Khomanin community handed over to you Minister on 4th November 2024 regarding this issue of generational workers?
 6. Can the Minister confirm or deny that many farmers in Khomas Region have multiple farms, while the community and Khomamin traditional authority has no land?
 7. Can you confirm that the farmer at Baumgartsbrun owns 5 farms while the people in Dordabis Nauspoort (in the vicinity of this farm complains of systematic displacement and land dispossession?
 8. The community allege that you bought a farm (Groot Korasiesplaats) for Khomanin people in Omaheke Region without due diligence, as they claim there is no water and water potential on the farm, however you bought this farm for 33 Millions, is that true Hon. Minister?
-

Question 101 (2025-02-18)

Hon. Diergaardt (PDM) asked the Minister of Education, Arts and Culture:

The fact that Grade 11 serves as an exit point for many learners in Namibia is problematic in itself because it increases youth unemployment, which further gives lee-way to other social ills. As announced by the education Ministry in January this year, 28 726 learners out of 40 757 who registered for the Namibia Senior Secondary Certificate level examinations, which represents 70.5%, did not meet the requirements to progress to AS level. This is a worrisome number of learners left on the streets as many tertiary institutions don't admit learners with Grade 11 certificates.

I therefore wish to ask the Honourable Minister the following:

1. Universities in Namibia and abroad do not recognise the new Grade 11 qualifications for admission? What is the stance of the Ministry in this regard?
2. Does the Ministry deem it prudent to increase the accessibility and affordability of Technical and Vocational Education and Training (TVET) programs to accommodate learners with Grade 11 certificates?
3. Have employers been consulted to ensure that learners with Grade 11 qualifications have relevant skills for the workforce?
4. Has the Ministry done monitoring and evaluation practices on the new curriculum? If yes, what are the outcomes and further share with us what interventions your Ministry is will implement?
5. Given the high failure rate and other challenges faced by both learners and teachers is the Ministry considering reviewing the curriculum to make it more effective or reverting back to the old curriculum?
6. Many parents are clueless about possible career paths for Grade 11 learners. What efforts are being made to educate parents and learners about the new curriculum and career pathways?
7. Is the government open to reviewing and possibly changing the curriculum if it proves to be problematic?
8. What feedback has the Ministry received from parents, learners, and teachers about the curriculum change, and what was the response from the Ministry?

Question 102 (2025-02-18)

Hon. Diergaardt (PDM) asked the Minister of Education, Arts and Culture:

The late Nelson Mandela once said "Education is the greatest equalizer", meaning it has the power to break down social and economic barriers, giving everyone a fair chance at a better future. However, this is least expected from the Namibian education system.

Honourable members, well-resourced schools play an important role in improving the quality of education and student performance. In Namibia educational disparities still

exist between urban and rural areas 34 years after independence. It is important to ensure that schools have adequate resources to achieve equity and excellence in education.

I therefore wish to ask the Honourable Minister the following:

1. What is the government doing to improve school infrastructure, especially in rural areas?
 2. How many schools in Namibia still lack basic facilities such as classrooms, toilets, and electricity, and what plans are in place to address these issues?
 3. When can learners in under-resourced schools expect to have access to modern facilities like science labs, libraries, and computer labs?
 4. With the rise of digital education, how does the government plan to equip underprivileged schools with computers, internet access, and e-learning tools?
 5. How does the Ministry ensure that funds allocated for school improvements are used effectively and reach the intended schools?
-

Question 103 (2025-02-19)

Hon. Van Den Heever (PDM) asked the Minister of Home Affairs, Immigration, Safety and Security:

Visa-free travel for diplomatic and official passport holders may give lee-way to foreign officials to push political agendas, influence the Namibian government, engage in lobbying, or manipulate the Namibian digital infrastructure. Namibia and China have agreed to establish a mutual visa exemption agreement applicable to holders of diplomatic and official passports. The ministry has emphasized that any exemption must be mutual, but there are concerns about this Namibia-China Visa Exemption.

I therefore wish to ask the Honourable Minister the following:

1. How does this exemption align with Namibia's broader foreign policy and diplomatic engagements?
2. Will the visa-free entry cover multiple entries within a certain period, or is it a single-entry exemption?
3. How will this agreement strengthen diplomatic ties and cooperation between Namibia and China?
4. Are there any expected economic or administrative benefits for Namibia as a result of this exemption?
5. Have similar visa exemption agreements been signed with other countries, and how does this compare?
6. What are the security protocols to prevent misuse of diplomatic or official passports under this exemption?

7. How will Namibia ensure that only eligible government officials benefit from this arrangement?
8. Many western countries like the United States, France and the United Kingdom perceive the growing ties between Africa and China as a challenge, particularly in economic, geopolitical, and strategic terms. Will this Namibia China Visa Exemption cause diplomatic friction with western countries?

Question 104 (2025-02-19)

Hon. Diergaardt (PDM) asked the Minister of Information and Communication Technology:

A well-functioning government email system is important for efficient communication, security, and service delivery. A proper functioning email system can also strengthen the government's professional image by ensuring that all official communications come from secure and verified government domains. However the situation of the Namibian government email system is worrisome and discouraging where so many civil servants complain of the ever-down and slow email system.

I therefore wish to ask the Honourable Minister the following:

1. Why is the Namibian government email system often slow and unreliable?
 2. Are there known technical issues causing delays in email delivery and access?
 3. What challenges does the government face in maintaining a stable email infrastructure?
 4. How secure is the current government email system against cyber threats such as hacking and ransomware?
 5. Are there plans to collaborate with private IT companies or experts to enhance email services?
 6. Many government officials use personal emails for official government correspondence. What policies are in place to regulate this? If fact, share with us the stance of the government's official communication policy regarding email correspondence?
 7. How much funding is allocated for IT infrastructure improvements, specifically for email systems?
 8. Can the Ministry commit to a timeline for fixing the slow email system?
-